

MEMORANDUM

Community Development Department

To: Beaverton Planning Commission

From: Brian Martin, Long Range Planning Manager

Rob Zoeller, Senior Planner

Date: Oct. 16, 2024

Subject: Letter from Metro indicating the proposed amendments are consistent with:

Metro Urban Growth Management Functional Plan,

Metro Council Ordinance No. 18-1427 conditions, and

the Metro Regional Transportation Functional Plan (RTFP).

The attached Metro letter conveys Metro's conclusion that the city's proposed amendments are consistent with the Metro Urban Growth Management Functional Plan, Metro Council Ordinance No. 18-1427 conditions, and the Metro Regional Transportation Functional Plan (RTFP).

The city's findings related to the Regional Transportation Functional Plan begin on Page 195 of the staff report. They include findings regarding the proposed amendments meeting the connectivity requirements of RTFP Section 3.08.110(C). That section directs Beaverton to "incorporate into its TSP, to the extent practicable, a network of major arterial streets at one-mile spacing and minor arterial streets or collector streets at half-mile spacing" considering a variety of factors, including the requirements of Title 3 (Water Quality and Flood Management) and Title 13 (Nature in Neighborhood) of the Urban Growth Management Functional Plan.

Starting on Page 189, the staff report describes that meeting the arterial and collector spacing requirements in Cooper Mountain was not always practicable, including the city's determination that requiring a collector road to cross McKernan creek and the natural resources along McKernan creek in the western part of the Cooper Mountain Community Plan area was not practicable.

Metro's letter finding the city's Comprehensive Plan amendments consistent with the Regional Transportation Functional Plan means Metro has determined that the city's proposed Comprehensive Plan amendments without the collector crossing referred to above are consistent with Metro's functional plan, including the section related to connectivity. This issue was the subject of the Washington County testimony in Exhibit 29.3 and the city's response to the County's testimony in Exhibit 30.

Please contact Brian Martin, Long Range Planning Manager, at bmartin@beavertonoregon.gov if you have any questions about this topic.



October 15, 2024

Rob Zoeller, Senior Planner City of Beaverton PO Box 4755 Beaverton, OR 97076

Dear Rob:

On September 11, 2024, I provided a letter confirming that proposed upland habitat related Development Code updates for the Cooper Mountain area added to the urban growth boundary (UGB) in 2018 would comply with the requirements of Urban Growth Management Functional Plan (UGMFP) Section 3.07.1340, *Performance Standards and Best Management Practices for Habitat Conservation Areas*. In further support of the City's multi-year Cooper Mountain efforts, I would also like to confirm that the proposed Comprehensive Plan and Development Code amendments are consistent with the UGMFP more broadly, as well as with relevant provisions of the Regional Transportation Functional Plan (RTFP) and the conditions of the Metro Council Ordinance No. 18-1427.

Metro staff have reviewed the October 2, 2024, staff report included for Case File Numbers LU42024-00682, CPMA42024-00679, ZMA42024-00681, and TA42024-00680. That report and the associated proposed Comprehensive Plan and Development Code amendments demonstrate that the amendments are consistent with:

- UGMFP Titles 1, 3, 7, 8, 11, 12, and 13;
- The RTFP; and
- The conditions of approval in Sections A, B, and C of Exhibit C to Metro Council Ordinance No. 18-1427.

Sincerely,

Glen Hamburg, Associate Regional Planner Planning, Development & Research, Metro

CC: Roger Alfred, Kim Ellis, Eryn Kehe, and André Lightsey-Walker of Metro